



Cameraman's Dope Sheet

①

Print all names. Attach Press Clippings or other material.
If the story looked good to you, say so, if it didn't, say so too.

SUBJECT CRICKET. DUKE OF EDINBURGH XI V DUKE OF NORFOLKS XI		
PLACE ARUNDEL PARK	DATE AUG. 2 1953	
Cameraman RUDKIN	Recordist —	Footage Shot two 1,200.
WHO ELSE COVERED Say if sound or silent		
ALL & T.V.		
LIST OF SHOTS		
ARRIVAL OF TWO DUKES		
TOSS UP. — & REPEAT		
DUKE OF EDINBURGH TALKS TO DUCHESS OF NORFOLK.		
" " " LEADS OUT HIS XI		
DUKE OF NORFOLKS OPENERS EDRICH & JOHN LANGRIDGE		
VARIOUS G.V. GROUND & CROWDS ETC.		
2" G.V. PLAY.		
DUKE OF EDINBURGH IN FIELD. SLIPS (BACK TO CAMERA)		
" " " IN SLIPS FACING CAMERA. X		
ALF GROVER BOWLS TO EDRICH.		
G.V. PLAY		

EYE
①

EYE
②

HIGH
③

Was there anything unusual about the story?
If you were assessing it editorially, what would you say?



Cameraman's Dope Sheet

(2)

Print all names. Attach Press Clippings or other material.
If the story looked good to you, say so, if it didn't, say so too.

SUBJECT CRICKET CONT		
PLACE		DATE
Cameraman RUDKIN	Recordist	Footage Shot
WHO ELSE COVERED Say if sound or silent		
LIST OF SHOTS W. VOCE BOWLS TO JOHN LANGRIDGE		
CROWDS		
DUKE OF EDINBURGH + BARNETT (GLOS) SET FIELD		
" " " BOWLING TO COX FROM CAMERA END.		
PLAY		
DUKE OF NORFOLK COMES IN,		
EDINBURGH BOWLS TO NORFOLK		
ROBINS? BOWLS OTHER END		
EDINBURGH GETS NORFOLK OUT + HAWSHALICE		
DECLARE		
NORFOLKS TEAM COME OUT		
Was there anything unusual about the story?		
If you were assessing it editorially, what would you say?		



Cameraman's Dope Sheet

3

Print all names. Attach Press Clippings or other material.
If the story looked good to you, say so, if it didn't, say so too.

SUBJECT CRICKET CONTI		
PLACE	DATE	
Cameraman RUDKIN	Recordist	Footage Shot
WHO ELSE COVERED Say if sound or silent		
LIST OF SHOTS EDINBURGH'S OPENERS FISH LOCK + BARNET PLAY WYATT. DURE OF EDINBURGH COMES OUT 4' 12" NORFOLK TO EDINBURGH WOOD TO EDINBURGH (CAMERA END) COX TO EDINBURGH EDINBURGH OUT BOWLED COX CROWD		
<hr/>		

Was there anything unusual about the story?

If you were assessing it editorially, what would you say?