

1012250



CAMERAMAN'S DOPE SHEET

Print all names. Attach Press Clippings or other material.
If the story looked good to you, say so, if it didn't, say so too.

SUBJECT HEARTS V RANGERS.		
PLACE SCOTLAND	DATE 2. 2. 57	
Cameraman BAL. JORMAN.	Recordist -	Footage Shot 800
WHO ELSE COVERED Say if sound or silent 1ST HALF.		
LIST OF SHOTS] RANGERS →	[KEN. GODARD
RANGERS KICK OFF. X. JORMAN		
PLAY. - G/K. RANGERS MEMBER - PLAY. - FOUL PENALTY - PENALTY KICK - GOAL		
RANGERS 2ND GOAL. - (DID NOT GET RANGERS 3RD GOAL)		
2ND HALF.		
RANGERS 4TH GOAL		
PLAY		

Was there anything unusual about the story?
If you were assessing it editorially, what would you say?

B-F27F01




CAMERAMAN'S DOPE SHEET

Print all names. Attach Press Clippings or other material.
If the story looked good to you, say so, if it didn't, say so too.

SUBJECT HEARTS v RANGERS		
PLACE SCOTLAND	DATE 3-2-57	
Cameraman K GODDARD	Recordist	Footage Shot 730

WHO ELSE COVERED
Say if sound or silent
M TONE BBC

LIST OF SHOTS



W JORDAN
ELEVATED

Roll 1

CROWD SHOTS + CUT-IN SHOTS

Was there anything unusual about the story?
If you were assessing it editorially, what would you say?

5-F82F01



CAMERAMAN'S DOPE SHEET

Print all names. Attach Press Clippings or other material.
If the story looked good to you, say so, if it didn't, say so too.

SUBJECT <i>HEARTS v RANGERS</i>		
PLACE <i>SCOTLAND</i>	DATE	
Cameraman <i>K GODDARD</i>	Recordist	Footage Shot <i>730</i>
WHO ELSE COVERED Say if sound or silent <i>M TONE BBC</i>		
LIST OF SHOTS <i>ROLL 2</i>		
<i>CROWD CUT-INS TEAMS RUNNING OUT. RANGERS 1ST.</i>		
<i>POLICE CLEAR CROWD. TOSS UP.</i>		
<i>ROLL 3</i>		
<i>RANGERS ATTACK, CORNER FOR RANGERS. RANGERS</i>		
<i>GET PENALTY. RANGERS SCORE FROM PENALTY.</i>		
<i>CROWD CHEERING</i>		
<i>ROLL 4</i>		
<i>RANGERS SCORE 2ND GOAL. CROWD CHEERING</i>		
<i>RANGERS SCORE 3RD GOAL. CROWD CHEERING</i>		

Was there anything unusual about the story?
If you were assessing it editorially, what would you say?



CAMERAMAN'S DOPE SHEET

Print all names. Attach Press Clippings or other material.
If the story looked good to you, say so, if it didn't, say so too.

SUBJECT <i>HEARTS v RANGERS</i>		
PLACE <i>SCOTLAND</i>	DATE	
Cameraman <i>K. GOODARD</i>	Recordist	Footage Shot <i>730</i>
WHO ELSE COVERED Say if sound or silent <i>ROLL 5.</i>		
LIST OF SHOTS		
<i>HALF TIME PAN EMPTY FIELD. HEARTS</i>		
<i>ATTACK. RANGERS GOALIE AT READY</i>		
<i>HEARTS NEARLY SCORE. HEARTS ATTACK</i>		
<i>RANGERS GOALIE AT READY</i>		
<i>ROLL 6</i>		
<i>HEARTS NEARLY SCORE. SCUFFLE AT</i>		
<i>RANGERS GOALMOUTH. TWO CORNERS</i>		
<i>FOR HEARTS</i>		

Was there anything unusual about the story?
If you were assessing it editorially, what would you say?

52582701



CAMERAMAN'S DOPE SHEET

Print all names. Attach Press Clippings or other material.
If the story looked good to you, say so, if it didn't, say so too.

SUBJECT HIBERNIAN .V. ABERDEEN		
PLACE EDINBURGH	DATE 2-2-57	
Cameraman P. WHITAKER	Recordist /	Footage Shot 450
WHO ELSE COVERED EXCLUSIVE. Say if sound or silent		
LIST OF SHOTS ABERDEEN SCORED		→ HIBERNIAN ATTACKING 1ST 1/2 NO GOALS SCORED THIS END X WHITAKER.
4 IN 1ST 1/2 HIBERNIAN SCORED 3 IN 2ND 1/2		ROLL 1 X GEMMELL
CUT-A-WAY SHOTS		
TEAMS RUNNING OUT AND TOSS UP.		
PLAY - CROWD - 2 MISSED PENALTIES, PLAY		
SHOT OF LIGHTS AT HALF TIME,		
PLAY ROLL 2		
PLAY IN 2ND HALF.		

Was there anything unusual about the story?
If you were assessing it editorially, what would you say?

15 FEB 1940

CAMERAMAN'S DOPE SHEET



Print all names. Attach Press Clippings or other material.
If the story looked good to you, say so, if it didn't, say so too.

SUBJECT HIBS V ABERDEEN.

PLACE EDINBURGH. DATE FEB 2nd.

Cameraman J. L. Bennett Recordist — Footage Shot 1400

WHO ELSE COVERED
Say if sound or silent NIL.

LIST OF SHOTS

□		WHITTAKER.

□
GEMMELL

ABERDEEN'S FOUR GOALS IN THE 1ST HALF - BOX 1.
ABERDEEN ARE PLAYING FROM MY RIGHT
TO LEFT.

2nd HALF BOX 2. HIBS ATTACK STRONGLY
HIBS 2nd GOAL. OWING TO AN OVER ZEALOUS
OFFICIAL PRESENTING A MESSAGE FROM
LONDON I MISSED THE OTHER TWO.

Was there anything unusual about the story?
If you were assessing it editorially, what would you say?